Introductory notes:

This manuscript is part of the Warner Bequest. It consists of a quotation from the *Standard* newspaper that Dodgson copied out in manuscript, which, according to his note, was intended as an example to use in *Symbolic Logic, Part II, Advanced* or possibly even *Symbolic Logic, Part III, Transcendental*. Dodgson indicates that it was for Book XIX (not included in Bartley’s reconstruction).

The text reads as follows:

[Standard – 19/6/96]

Mr. Balfour, Leader of the House of Commons, said that “he had shown that no reason had been given why the Amendment should be accepted.”

Mr. Lambert said “no reason had been advanced by the Leader of the House why the Amendment should be rejected.”

Here each side throws the *Onus probandi* on the other.

Dodgson’s example shows how the “burden of proof” can be switched between the two protagonists.

The verso indicates that Dodgson is re-using a typed letter that he is now using as scrap paper. This letter, which he appeared to have received from W. W. Duncan and Company, was no longer required. The Duncan firm does not appear in Dodgson’s surviving correspondence, and is not identified.